

Maldacena-Nunez's no-go theorem for warped 11d de Sitter solution

mainly based on arXiv:hep-th/0007018

We start from the warped metric

$$ds_{11}^2 = \Omega(y)^2 (g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + \hat{g}_{mn} dy^m dy^n), \quad (1)$$

where μ and ν run from $0 \sim 3$, and m, n from 4 to 10. We will also use capital alphabets (Latin letters) L, M, N etc. to denote general 11-dimensional indices. The D -dim Ricci tensor in the 4-dim spacetime directions $R_{\mu\nu}^{(D)}$ can be written as

$$R_{\mu\nu}^{(D)} = R_{\mu\nu}(g) - g_{\mu\nu} \left[\hat{\nabla}^2 \log \Omega + (D-2)(\hat{\nabla} \log \Omega)^2 \right], \quad (2)$$

where $\hat{\square}$ and $\hat{\nabla}$ denote the derivatives in the compact 7-dim directions. From the e.o.m., we have

$$R_{\mu\nu}^{(D)} = T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{D-2} \Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu} T^L_L. \quad (3)$$

Note that

$$\hat{\nabla}^2 \log \Omega + (D-2)(\hat{\nabla} \log \Omega)^2 = \frac{1}{(D-2)\Omega^{D-2}} \nabla^2 \Omega^{D-2}, \quad (4)$$

by contracting the e.o.m. with $g^{\mu\nu}$, we obtain

$$\frac{4}{(D-2)\Omega^{D-2}} \nabla^2 \Omega^{D-2} = R(g) - \Omega^2 \left(T^\mu_\mu - \frac{4}{D-2} T^L_L \right). \quad (5)$$

If $\tilde{T} := -T^\mu_\mu + \frac{4}{D-2} T^L_L \geq 0$, then we see that the assumption that we have a de Sitter space, with $R(g) > 0$, implies

$$\int d^{D-4}y \sqrt{\hat{g}} \Omega^{D-2} \hat{\nabla}^2 \Omega^{D-2} > 0, \quad (6)$$

while the l.h.s. of the above can be integrated by part to

$$- \int d^{D-4}y \sqrt{\hat{g}} \left(\hat{\nabla} \Omega^{D-2} \right)^2, \quad (7)$$

which is apparently non-positive. This leads to the inconsistency. Maldacena-Nunez checked that $\tilde{T} \geq 0$ holds for 11d SUGRA with negative vacuum scalar potential (**Why not positive? To have 11d AdS vacuum?**) and 10d type IIA massive SUGRA.

For the scalar potential V , the corresponding stress tensor is

$$T_{MN} = -V g_{MN} \Rightarrow \tilde{T} = -V \frac{8}{D-2}, \quad (8)$$

and for negative potential, it is positive. For n -form field strength,

$$T_{MN} = F_{ML_1 \dots L_{n-1}} F_N^{L_1 \dots L_{n-1}} - \frac{1}{2n} g_{MN} F_{L_1 \dots L_n} F^{L_1 \dots L_n}, \quad (9)$$

Since we are considering the cosmological application, there are only two scenarios we need to discuss. One is that only $F_{mn \dots l}$ components are non-zero, and the other is that only $F_{012 \dots 3m \dots n}$'s are non-vanishing, to preserve the isometry of $dS^{1,3}$. We can also consider a mixed situation, but it is enough to discuss them separately.

In the first case,

$$\tilde{T} = -F_{\mu L_1 \dots L_{n-1}} F^{\mu L_1 \dots L_{n-1}} + \frac{4}{D-2} \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \right) F^2 = \frac{4}{D-2} \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \right) F^2, \quad (10)$$

$$T_{00} = -\frac{1}{2n} g_{00} F^2. \quad (11)$$

From the positivity of the energy density, we have $F^2 \geq 0$, and thus $\tilde{T} \geq 0$ in this case.

In the first case, as

$$F_{\mu L_1 \dots L_{n-1}} F^{\mu L_1 \dots L_{n-1}} = \frac{4}{n} F^2, \quad (12)$$

we have

$$\tilde{T} = \frac{4}{n} \frac{n-D+1}{D-2} F^2, \quad (13)$$

$$T_{00} = \frac{1}{2n} g_{00} F^2, \quad (14)$$

and with the same logic $F^2 \geq 0$, $D-1 \geq n$, we have again $\tilde{T} \geq 0$. We note that $n = D$ corresponds to a scalar field strength.